

The public Court Trial of bodies in the Rule of Law



Why you and we have agreed

Not whether you have read this document is the core,
but that you had a reasonable access to sufficient knowledge for an average
thinking human being.

This rule of justice is corollary from the rule of nature that one can be brought to a
mirror, but can impossibly be forced to look in it. Or in other words that one can be
spoken to, but can impossibly be forced to listen.

Use on loan for everyone

One person speaks the language of clean politics, another the language of violence,
another the language of the drawn irony and another the language of save peace.
Regardless the spoken language, everyone with the claim for restitution of our
Human and Civil Rights to an honest reasoning and fair acting sworn judge for

everyone in a “Court of first instance”, is supported. All other like the means, purposes, cases or goals are by default not supported and need a written approval.

The legal power to demand

The General Assembly of the United Nations proclaims for all peoples and all nations by progressive measures, national and international, to secure their universal and effective recognition and observance (*1). This is a normal contract.

The Governments signatory agreed and the State’s Legislations are the High Contracting Parties involved in the ECPHR, that secured to everyone the Rights and Freedoms defined in the Convention (*2). This is a normal contract. Remark too, that this excludes the presumed “Judicial Power”.

The secure of the Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms is a normal contract. What is agreed on is in the Universal Declaration and in the ECPHR. This contract guarantees the supply to everyone. This contract dominates each national law article: in the Netherlands by article 94 of the Constitution. The national judiciary and judges work under Warranty Agreement and national valid Agreements Rights.

Paying taxes or official fees and to behave common is the due-side of the Agreements Rights and the secured receive of each of all Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms is the demand-side of the Agreements Rights.

The legal power to judge

The public is by law empowered to examine and judge court-decisions or judge-decisions on the Human Rights (*3).

The nature of the violations by Courts and judges result that no “Court of first instance” is available to judge the Government’s deeds, behaviour and actions. So, inside the legal frame of the Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms is the public the only left lawful empowered independent judge.

The legal power of the documents

Each case-document is the declaration of an external and independent of any authority or believe, objective expert on Human Rights and on the protection of these rights. Each case-document is based on verifiable facts.

The way of use

The document in digital or printed form may be used –under the rights in the footer– for strengthening one’s reasons to accuse and charge each judge by default and this instantly followed with the demand of the proven to the demander by evidence of the guaranteed quality of the judge.

The document in printed form may be filed in the process-dossier –under the rights in the footer– to ground the accusations, charges and restitution claim.

By default, the “Facts of violations” of the used document emphatic must be quoted and with mentioning of the document-source and URL.

By default, it is emphatic prohibited to rephrase the content of the used document when this changes the intentions and aims of the document, its paragraphs or subparagraphs.

References:

- *1. Universal Declaration of Human Rights, preamble’s last paragraph.
- *2. Convention for Protection of Human Rights and the Fundamental Freedoms, preamble and article 1
- *3. European Court for Human Rights, Case Campbell and Fell versus the UK, 28-06-1984, paragraph 91.

This document suffers expansion.